Thermal inversion influence on the mixing layer height during a record pollutant event at Paris megacity

Juan Antonio Bravo-Aranda¹, Martial Haeffelin¹, Gille Foret², Valérie Gros², Aline Gratien², Jean-Charles Dupont¹, Simone Kothaus¹, Vincent Michoud², Olivier Favez³, Marc-Antoine Drouin¹

¹Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), France *jbravo@Imd.polytechnique.fr ²Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA, CNRS/UPEC/UPD) ³Institut National de l'Environnement Industriel et des Risques (INERIS)

JSS, Palaiseau, 29 juin 2017



SRTA Introduction

- Paris megacity suffers several pollution events per year affecting the health of its inhabitants
- Emergency actions to mitigate the pollution effects (for large pollution event)
 - These actions have a large socio-economic impact
- The magnitude of the pollution event is estimated using:
 - PREV'AIR chemistry-transport operational modeling system
 - CHIMERE chemical transport model
- However, there are differences between observations and simulations:
 - Up to 50% in the PM2.5 time series
 - Large uncertainties on NH3 and organic matter concentrations remain
- Differences due to uncertainties in both :
 - chemical processes
 - <u>dynamical and radiative processes</u>

Can we use the remote-sensing measurements to:

- Improve the knowledge of the dynamical processes?
- Contribute to the daily air-pollution forecasts?



Atmospheric dynamical processes and pollution events

Three different atmospheric dynamical processes leading decreases of the particular matter concentration (PM):

- 1. The advection of clean air masses
- 2. The dilution of the pollutants due to an increase of the Mixing Layer (ML)
- 3. Diffusion of pollutants from the ML to the free troposphere



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Clean-air advection} \\ + \\ \hline \text{Dilution} \\ + \\ \hline \text{Diffusion} \end{array} \Rightarrow \uparrow \text{PM}$

Long period \Rightarrow pollution event





Doppler lidar: Leosphere, WLS70 3D wind components Vertical resolution:50 m [0,1-2 km] Temporal resolution: 10 min Horizontal wind accuracy: 0.1 m/s Wind direction accuracy: 2°



Backscatter lidar: Vaisala Ceilometer CL31 Backscattering intensity at 905 nm Vertical resol: 5 m Temporal resol: 30 s MLD determined using the STRAT+ (Pal et al., 2013)

Radiosondes: Modem M10 (at 00h and 12h UTC), Trappes 15 km West from SIRTA (MeteoFrance) TEOMS-FMDS: PM10 concentration

SIRTA Pollution event: December 2016

- 29th November to 7th December 2016
- AIRPARIF network \rightarrow daily mean PM10 ~150 µg/m³
- Low wind speed (<2m/s)
- Low MLD (<300 m)
- On 3rd December:

↑ ML and wind speed>5m/s → ↓PM10



Clear relationship between ML, wind speed and PM10



SIRTA Pollution event: December 2016

 03/12 Vs 06/12: The temperature at 300 m increased 10°C! ⇒ strong inversion!



- Atmospheric thermal inversion blocks :
 - I. the ML growths (dilution)
 - II. the particle **diffusion** from ML to the free troposphere



Pollution events are linked to atmospheric dynamical processes!



Dilution



Diffusion

Proxy:

wind speed

Mixing layer depth?

?

• Dilution is driven by the increase of the MLD from night-time to day-time:



• Thus, we define the dilution parameter as: $D = \frac{1}{MLD \ at \ night}$ Low $D \Rightarrow$ weak dilution Large $D \Rightarrow$ strong dilution

- Diffution is driven by the intensity of the thermal inversions
- An air-mass bubble at surface level at temperature T_s will rise to the top of the inversion, Height(T_{inv}), if it is heated up to the adiabatic temperature of T_{inv} , named θ_{inv}
- The **diffusion** can be quantified by:

 $\Delta(\theta_{inv}, T_s) = \frac{\theta_{inv} - T_s}{\text{Height}(T_{inv})}$

named 'inhibition parameter'

 $\Delta(\theta_{inv}, T_s) \gg 0 \Rightarrow$ strong inversion \Rightarrow low diffusion

 $\Delta(\theta_{inv}, T_s) \ll 0 \Rightarrow$ no inversion \Rightarrow high diffusion





Proxy:

wind speed

 $\frac{MLD \ at \ noon}{MLD \ at \ night}$

Dilution



Diffusion

 $\Delta(\theta_{inv}, T_s)$ (inhibition parameter)

SRTA PM2,5 Vs dynamical processes: 2012-2015

- Seasonal working daily PM2.5 values on 2012-2015
- ReObs database and radiosondes to derive the dynamicalprocess proxies
- ACSM \rightarrow aerosol chemical composition (origin/source)



SRTA PM2,5 Vs dynamical processes: 2012-2015

Seasonal working daily PM2,5 on 2012-2015



PROXIES		Largest PM2,5	
		SPRING	WINTER
DYNAMICAL PROCESSES	Wind direction		Northeast
	Wind speed		low (<3m/s)
	Dilution		<3
	Diffusion		low

- All 4 parameters are important.
- Low diffusion is required.

SIRTA PM2,5 Vs dynamical processes: 2012-2015





PROXIES		Largest PM2,5	
		SPRING	WINTER
DYNAMICAL PROCESSES	Wind direction	Northeast	
	Wind speed	-	
	Dilution	<5	
	Diffusion	-	

- Wind direction is more important than in winter.
- For NE dir, high PM25 for both low and high wind speed if dilution is low.
- Some cases of high dilution, but associated with low wind speed.



PM2,5 Vs dynamical processes: 2012-2015



- Higher inhibition parameter in winter.
- Higher dilution in spring.

PROXIES		Largest PM2,5	
		SPRING	WINTER
DYNAMICAL PROCESSES	Wind direction	Northeast	Northeast
	Wind speed	-	low (<3m/s)
	Dilution	<5	<3
	Diffusion	-	low
CHEMICAL	main component	NO3	Organic
	SO4/BC*	1,7	0,9

*larger values means transported aerosols

SO4/BC:

- transported aerosol in spring! (advected from NE)
- Local aerosol in winter!

SIRTA Concluding remarks

- Combination of backscatter and Doppler lidars allows a deeper analysis of dynamical processes
- Thermal inversion blocks :
 - I. the ML growths (dilution)
 - II. the particle diffusion from MLD to the troposphere
- Dynamical processes proxies are useful to identify the origin of the pollution events
- Winter pollution events: low dilution and diffusion
- Spring pollution events: Northeast wind sector (transported aerosol)

Future work:

• Derive a combined parameter that can be used as indicator of high PM25 risk.

Thanks for your attention!

