

Critical Liquid Water Path as a possible indicator of Fog Dissipation



F. Toledo (LMD/MODEM), E.G. Waersted, M. Haeffelin (IPSL/CNRS), J-C. Dupont (IPSL/UVSQ)

Contact: felipe.toledo@lmd.polytechnique.fr



 \equiv IFDA

AR Télédétection Atmosphérious

1. The Critical Liquid Water Path (CLWP)

2. CLWP calculation

- The CLWP is a measure of the minimum amount of Liquid Water Path (LWP) needed for a cloud to reach the surface.
- CLWP depends on the cloud's The ullettemperature, pressure, cloud top height and subadiabaticity profile.
- It was originally applied to detect fog from satellite cloud observations [1].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}WP &= \int_{z=0}^{z=z_1} (1 - \beta_0 z) \cdot \mathcal{L}WC_{ad}^*(z) \, dz \\ &+ \int_{z=z_1}^{z=z_2} (1 - \beta_0) \cdot \mathcal{L}WC_{ad}^*(z) \, dz \\ &+ \int_{z=z_2}^{z=z_t} \frac{z_t - z}{z_t - z_2} \cdot \mathcal{L}WC_{ad}^*(z_2) \, dz \end{aligned}$$

 β_0 : Fog subadiabaticity



Recent research has discovered that the CLWP could be a main indicator of fog dissipation tendency [2].

*z*₁: Ground coupling parameter [m]

 z_2 : Cloud-top entrainment parameter [m]

 β_0 , z_1 and z_2 are determined following the procedure published in [2]

Liquid Water Content (LWC)

isibility at 4 and 20 m height/ Fog Dissipation Time = 2015-12-10 11:15:00

z_t: Cloud Top Height [m]

3160

1000

 $LWC_{ad}^{*}(z)$: Adiabatic Liquid Water Content profile, starting from zero at the Surface [Kg/m3]

3. Ground based fog observations

Fog observations are performed at the atmospheric observatory SIRTA, located in Palaiseau, France [3]. Fog events are frequent at the site during the winter season.



The SIRTA has over 100 instruments onsite, including a profiling mast, ceilometers, particle spectrometers, wind profilers, etc.

Main instruments used in this study:

Cloud Radar BASTA [4] • Fog reflectivity profile

• Cloud Top Height

Microwave Radiometer HATPRO [5] • Liquid Water Path



observed LWP BASTA 12.5-m reflectivity profile 700 Cloud Top Height 600 Cloud Base Heigh --- Fog form, time Fog dis, time 500 Sunrise E 400

4. Example

- Fog case of December 9-10, 2015
- CLWP increases with temperature and CTH
- When the fog LWP decreases near the CLWP value visibility increases
- At fog dissipation, CLWP grows rapidly and surpasses the



- Temperature and humidity profiles

Visibility meter

Fog formation and dissipation time



5. CLWP study: Subadiabaticity Statistics

- An accurate estimation of β_0 (Beta) is essential to correctly estimate the CLWP
- β_0 can be retrieved by matching the CLWP with fog's LWP at dissipation time
- 30 fog events studied





 β_0 vs other fog parameters at dissipation time

Conclusions

- Changes in the difference between LWP and CLWP are linked to changes in fog's visibility.
- The observed β_0 value varies from 0 to 0.8, with median of 0.4, slightly larger than 0.3, a value widely used in literature.
- Temperature and Surface Heat Fluxes are shown to be related with the value of β_0 .
- Further research in fog subadiabaticity is a crucial step before applying this method on fog dissipation forecasting.

