# Impact of thermodynamic and turbulent processes on fog dissipation during SOFOG-3D campaign

*Cheikh DIONE*<sup>1</sup>, Martial HAEFFELIN<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Charles DUPONT<sup>1</sup>, Felipe TOLEDO<sup>2</sup>, Jean-François RIBAUD<sup>1</sup>, Pauline MARTINET<sup>3</sup>, and Guylaine CANUT<sup>3</sup>

IPSL, CNRS, Paris/France
 LATMOS, Guyancourt/France
 CNRM, Meteo-France, Toulouse/France



### Context and objective

#### **Research questions**

- What are the main processes involved in fog dissipation over a complex area between mountains and ocean?
- What are the best in-situ and remote sensing instruments to use for fog monitoring and nowcasting?



Flights delayed



Pile-up on the Chaban bridge, Bordeaux

#### **Objective:**

- Estimate the onset and dissipation time of fog during the SOFOG-3D field campaign
- Use a conceptual model of adiabatic fog to estimate fog macrophysical characteristics
- Document each processus involved in fog formation and dissipation based on a synergy of in-situ and remote sensing measurements

#### Data and methodology

Unique dataset collected during SOFOG-3D campaign in automne-winter 2019/2020

- In-situ and remote sensing data :
  - Cloud radar BASTA cloud top height (CTH), Radar Reflectivity
  - Ceilometer cloud base height (CBH)
  - Microwave radiometer HATPRO \_\_\_\_\_ Liquid Water Path (LWP), temperature inversion layer)
  - Visibilimeter definition of fog : visibility less than 2000 m
  - WindCube V2 wind Lidar Turbulence and advection
  - Surface measurements & radiosoundings temperature and wind
- Adiabatic fog conceptual model (Toledo et al., 2021)

Cloud radar, Ceilometer, visibilimeter, microwave radiometer, & surface measurements



Fog key parameters: RLWP, CLWP, equivalent adiabaticity

### Identification of case studies

#### Orography of the Study area



Based on Tardif and Rasmussen (2007) 31 fog cases observed at Charbonnière, supersite (SS) during Nov 2019 – Mar 2020 period

Focus on 2 IOPs

IOP6 : 5-6/01/2020 IOP11 : 8-9/02/2020

### Fog dissipation characteristics





1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

-0.5

-1.0

60

40

20

0

-20

-40

-60

18 20 22

19:40

closure [g m<sup>-3</sup>]

[g m<sup>-2</sup>]

RUMP

20

15

10

5

0

18 20 22 00 02 04 06 08 10 12 14 16 18 20 22

- 5

-10

100

80

60

40

20

0

18

20 22

20:40

Temperature [°C]

Fog LWP [g m<sup>-2</sup>]

—·- Fog form, time

--- Fog form. time

00 02 04 06 08 10 12 14 16

--- Fog dis. time

--- Fog dis, time



Dissipation by lifting

#### • Fog dissipation characteristics IOP11: 8-9 February 2020



- Spatio-temporal variability of fog formation time (from West to East)
- Fog dissipation time corresponded with a sudden increase of wind (mesoscale circulation)

#### IOP6: 5-6 January 2020



- Intermittent and persistent fog
- Moderate wind

#### • Fog dissipation characteristics IOP11: 8-9 February 2020

#### IOP6: 5-6 January 2020



• Dissipation by advection

#### Turbulence processes



Fog dissipation by lifting

- Strong turbulence during the dissipation phase (TKE > 0,4  $m^2s^{-2}$ )
- Fog thickening favored by moderate turbulence which reduce the RLWP





• Fog dissipation by lowering associated with low turbulence

### Thermodynamical processes

#### IOP11: 8-9 February 2020

#### IOP6: 5-6 January 2020



- Thick and light inversion (3,41 °C) after fog formation
- Dissipation phase associated with a warming of the surface layer – Evaporation

- Strong and deep inversion (14 °C)
- Synoptic atmospheric circulations associated with blocking over Europe
- A warm sub-layer between fog and stratus cloud

### Thermodynamical processes



Fog dissipation by lifting associated with low temperature inversion (local processes)

Fog dissipation by lowering associated with strong temperature inversion (synoptic conditions)





ITH= inversion top height CTH = cloud top height

#### Summary

- Using the adiabatic fog conceptual model and cloud radar, we find that fog can be formed by radiative cooling or advection through the westerly sea breeze (nocturnal low-level jet). This model allows to properly document the different phases (stable/adiabatic) of the fog evolution. Fog's dissipation phase are by lifting of it base or lowering of it top.
- Fog's dissipation by lifting is associated with a low inversion layer and governed for some IOPs by mechanical turbulence linked to advection of warmer air mass (southerly continental flow) during the night and for others by thermal turbulence linked to solar heating (sensible heat flux) during the day.
- Fog's dissipation by lowering is associated with strong inversion layer and favoured by warmer air mass advection over the top of the fog which breaks it into two: a stratus layer above and the residual fog which lowering until it dissipation.
- This study also demonstrates the importance of using instrumental synergy to better understand the macrophysical characteristics of fog in order to predict fog formation, evolution and dissipation – baseline to use for better simulations of fog in NWP models



"The SOFOG3D field campaign was supported by METEO-FRANCE and ANR through grant AAPG 2018-CE01-0004. Data are managed by the French national center for Atmospheric data and services AERIS."

#### Fog LWC and LWP

Adiabatic fog LWC versus height:

$$\frac{dLWC(z)}{dz} = \alpha(z) \cdot \Gamma_{ad}(T, P)$$

$$LWC(z) = \int_{0}^{z} \alpha(z')dz' \Gamma_{ad}(T, P) + LWC_{0}$$
  
Well-mixed  
Cloud LWC(z)  
Fog-only  
component

- Adiabaticity
- adiabatic LWC lapse rate [g m-4]
- Surface temperature [K]
- Surface pressure [Pa]



Fog LWP:

$$LWP = \int_0^{CTH} LWC(z)dz = \int_0^{CTH} \left(\int_0^z \alpha(z')dz' \Gamma_{ad}(T,P) + LWC_0\right)dz$$

Simplification:

$$LWP = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{eq} \Gamma_{ad} (T, P) CT H^2 + LW C_0 CTH$$

- The conceptual model simplifies fog equations by introducing an equivalent adiabaticity profile
- Equivalent adiabaticity of the fog layer

 $\alpha_{eq} = \frac{2(LWP - LWC_0 \ CTH)}{\Gamma_{ad}(T, P) \ CTH^2}$ 

is a function of column variables, and can be retrieved using the remote sensing instruments



 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Fog} \ {\rm LWP} = {\rm Conceptual} \ {\rm model} \\ {\rm LWP} \end{array}$ 

### **Fog equivalent adiabaticity**

Statistical study of the eq. Adiabaticity (80 Fog cases)



 $\alpha_{ea}$  vs LWP 1.5 1.0 白貞貞貞真貞ঢ়ৢ৾৾৽৾৽৾৽  $\alpha_{eq}$  from closure 0.5 0.0 **Adiabatic fog** -0.5**Both regimes** LWP > 40 g m<sup>-2</sup> LWP 20-40 g m<sup>-2</sup> -1.0Shallow fog More than 20 samples LWP < 20 g  $m^{-2}$ Less than 20 samples -1.5150-160 250-260 100-110 2013 200-210 30,00 TQ. LWC

LWP  $[q m^{-2}]$ 

LWC is higher in the lower fog layers: Shallow stable fog LWC increases with height

 $\rightarrow$  Adiabatic fog

 $\rightarrow$  Fog is transitioning from shallow to adiabatic

17

## **Critical and Reservoir LWP**

This enables the definition of a new variable, the **Critical LWP** (CLWP)





